Loris High

2476 Burning Tree Lane Little River, SC 29566

Grades 9-12 High School

Enrollment 785 Students

Principal Trevor Strawderman 843–503–2559

Superintendent Dr. Bobby Nalley, Acting 843–488–6700

Superintendent

Board Chair Will Garland 843–358–8002

2006 ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

ABSOLUTE RATING

BELOW AVERAGE

Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory

2 2 7 7 7 4

IMPROVEMENT RATING

UNSATISFACTORY

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

YES

This school met 17 out of 17 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org Loris High 10/30/06 2601008

PERFO	PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD									
Year	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress							
2003	Average	Excellent	No							
2004	Good	Excellent	Yes							
2005	Average	Unsatisfactory	No							
2006	Below Average	Unsatisfactory	Yes							

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS											
_		Our School		High Schools with Students Like Ours							
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006					
Passed 2 subtests	71.1	65.8	75.7	65.9	63.1	67.0					
Passed 1 subtest	12.7	12.8	7.3	17.3	17.8	15.4					
Passed no subtests	16.2	21.4	17.0	20.6	19.1	17.5					

HSAP PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2006		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	86.7%	89.1%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP		
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	5.0	8.4
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	5.0	8.8
Seniors who met the grade point average	37.6	39.7

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Number of Students	170	173
Number of Diplomas	121	118
Rate	71.2%	71.8%

Loris High 10/30/06 2601008

END OF COURSE TESTS									
Percent of students scoring 70 or above on:	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours							
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	75.4	76.9							
English 1	56.0	59.7							
Biology 1/Applied Biology 2	54.4	48.4							
Physical Science	20.2	33.9							
All Subjects	50.0	54.1							

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS										
	HSAP Pas by Sprii	•		/ for LIFE arship	Graduation Rate					
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective			
All Students	166	86.7	141	5.0	121	71.2	Yes			
Gender										
Male	85	78.8	65	3.1	87	60.9	N/A			
Female	80	96.3	76	6.6	83	81.9	N/A			
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	91	91.2	79	7.6	92	78.3	N/A			
African American	68	82.4	59	1.7	72	63.9	N/A			
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Hispanic	6	83.3	2	0.0	5	60.0	N/A			
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Racial/Ethnic Group										
Non disabled	141	95.7	122	5.7	144	80.6	N/A			
Disabilities other than speech	25	36.0	19	0.0	26	19.2	N/A			
Migrant Status										
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Non-migrant	166	86.7	141	5.0	170	71.2	N/A			
English Proficiency										
Limited English Proficient	4	75.0	0	0.0	5	60.0	N/A			
Non-Limited English Proficient	162	87.0	141	5.0	165	71.5	N/A			
Socio-Economic Status										
Subsidized meals	103	81.6	108	62.0	108	62.0	N/A			
Full-pay meals	63	95.2	50	14.0	62	87.1	N/A			

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

10/30/06 2601008

						CE				

USAB Berenanius av Sas									
HSAP PERFORMANCE BY GRO		- 1	- 1	- 1	-,	-,	-,	-,-	
	Enrollment 1st	ø/ .	% Below Basiz	} /	/ ;	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced in	Performance Objection	Participation Objective Met
	j j	% Tested	, \ 8	% Basic	% Proficient	/ É	[je j	e E	Participation Objective Met
	1 1 1 5	1 19	/ g	/ %	/ g	/ \$\delta	\g \		
	्रि हैं।	·/ °~	/ %	/ ``	/ %	/ %	18.5	/ ª ð	[[4 8 P]
Fr	nglish/Lan	/ guage Art	/ s – State	<i>l</i> Performa	,		3%		
All Students	207	99.0	20.0	25.6	34.4	20.0	62.1	Yes	Yes
Gender		-					3=:1		
Male	88	100.0	23.5	24.7	29.4	22.4	56.5	N/A	N/A
Female	119	98.3	17.3	26.4	38.2	18.2	66.4	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	109	99.1	12.0	18.0	42.0	28.0	76.0	Yes	Yes
African American	93	98.9	27.5	34.1	27.5	11.0	47.3	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	172	99.4	9.9	26.7	39.8	23.6	72.0	N/A	N/A
Disabled	35	97.1	67.6	20.6	8.8	2.9	14.7	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	207	99.0	20.0	25.6	34.4	20.0	62.1	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency					,		,		
Limited English Proficient	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	204	99.0	19.2	25.9	34.7	20.2	62.7	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	140	99.3	25.2	32.6	30.4	11.9	51.1	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	67	98.5	8.3	10.0	43.3	38.3	86.7	N/A	N/A
N	//athemati	cs - State	Performa	ance Obje	ective = 50	0.0%			
All Students	207	98.6	20.0	30.8	33.8	15.4	61.0	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	88	100.0	21.2	27.1	30.6	21.2	58.8	N/A	N/A
Female	119	97.5	19.1	33.6	36.4	10.9	62.7	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	109	98.2	8.0	28.0	42.0	22.0	76.0	Yes	Yes
African American	93	98.9	33.0	34.1	25.3	7.7	45.1	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	172	98.8	12.4	29.8	39.1	18.6	69.6	N/A	N/A
Disabled	35	97.1	55.9	35.3	8.8	N/A	20.6	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Non-Migrant

Full-pay meals

English Proficiency Limited English Proficient

Non-Limited English Proficient

Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals

207

3

204

140

98.6

98.5

99.3

20.0

I/S

19.7

25.9

30.8

I/S

30.6

32.6

33.8

I/S

34.2

33.3

15.4

I/S

15.5

8.1

61.0

I/S

61.7

56.3

N/A

I/S

N/A

Yes

N/A

I/S

N/A

Yes N/A Loris High 10/30/06 2601008

SCHOOL PROFILE	Our School		ange from ast Year	with St	gh ools udents Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 785)						
Retention rate	5.7%	Down fr	om 10.9%		8.7%	7.0%
Attendance rate	95.1%	No chai	nge		95.3%	95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented	10.2%	- 1			6.1%	7.9%
With disabilities other than speech	17.9%		om 22.5%		14.4%	12.3%
Older than usual for grade Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	8.8% 10.7%		om 10.1% ı 2.2%		11.1%	9.5% 1.2%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	11.0% N/A	Up from N/A	8.9%		5.9% N/A	11.2% N/A
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship*	5.0%				5.0%	10.2%
Annual dropout rate	2.3%	Up from	2.2%		3.1%	2.8%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	15.5%				5.3%	3.5%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	429	Up from	377		394	448
Students participating in worked-based experiences	3.2%		om 9.9%		22.1%	24.2%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	85.6%	Up from	83.0%		77.5%	80.0%
Career/technology completers placed *Using only SAT/ACT and Grade Point Average requirem Teachers (n= 50)	96.5% ents.	Up from	96.3%	l	96.9%	99.1%
Teachers with advanced degrees	54.0%	Down fr	om 58.8%		51.2%	55.5%
Continuing contract teachers	N/AV				N/AV	N/AV
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	13.2%	N/A		13.5%		9.6%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	10.3%	Down fr	om 21.3%		12.2%	9.9%
Teachers returning from previous year Teacher attendance rate	77.0% 95.2%		om 79.5% i 94.3%		82.3% 95.2%	86.3% 95.3%
Average teacher salary	\$42,752	Down 0	.9%	\$	42,415	\$42,943
Prof. development days/teacher	7.9 days	Down fr	om 16.2 days	9.	.5 days	11.2 days
School						
Principal's years at school	1.0	Down fr			3.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	28.4 to 1	Up from	27.8 to 1	24	4.8 to 1	25.7 to 1
Prime instructional time	88.6%				88.9%	89.3%
Dollars spent per pupil*		Up 14.9			\$7,508	\$6,792
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	57.0%	Up from	53.0%		53.9%	55.3%
Percent of expenditures for instruction*	60.8%				62.0%	61.1%
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent				Good	Excellent
Parents attending conferences	95.1% Up from 87.7%				93.3%	92.8%
SACS accreditation		Yes No change Average Down from Excellent				Yes
Character development * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Average	Down tr		District	Good	Good
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highl	v qualified teach	ers		2.9%		State 6.2%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by high				9.0%		10.2%
			State Object		Met St	ate Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers in	this school		0.0%			No
						Voo

Abbreviations for Missing Data

Student attendance in this school *or greater than last year

94.0%*

Yes

Loris High 10/30/06 2601008

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

During the 2005-06 school year, Loris High School students showed academic achievement and participated in a variety of extracurricular activities. Scholarships totaling more than two million dollars were earned by the class of 2006. Students were recognized at the state and national levels. Community-based service-learning projects, extended-day learning activities and summer enrichment programs were completed by students.

Measurements of Academic Progress (MAP) allowed teachers to measure and monitor students' academic progress throughout the school year. Teachers and administrators conferenced with specific students during the year, attempting to keep students focused on progress. In preparation for the South Carolina High School Assessment Program (HSAP) and end-of-course tests, ninth and tenth grade students were assigned additional math and English classes. Teachers from all curriculum areas introduced and reinforced lessons aimed at improving students' understanding of curriculum standards. After-school tutorial and computer lab programs were available for student use. Staff development sessions provided teachers and administrators with strategies to improve the teaching of reading and math across the curriculum, as well as strategies for working with at-risk students.

Instructional strategies implemented had a positive effect on students' academic performance. The percent of ninth grade students promoted to tenth grade increased and the number of courses students failed declined. Continued growth in the percent of second-year students passing all sections of the South Carolina High School Assessment Program (HSAP) on first attempt continues to challenge, as well as average daily attendance. Our commitment to work with students, parents and community members to ensure the academic success of all students is ongoing.

Trevor Strawderman, Principal David Cox, School Improvement Council Chairperson

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS			
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	52	0	0
Percent satisfied with learning environment	74.5%	N/R	N/R
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	94.2%	N/R	N/R
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	56.9%	N/R	N/R

^{*}Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.